1998 TENANT OPPORTUNITIES PROGRAM GRANT SCREENING INSTRUCTIONS

OVERVIEW

The screening process begins with a review of each application in its entirety by the local cognizant HUB or Program Center for deficiencies with respect to completeness, internal consistency or correct computations. Reviewers are to use the attached Screening Checklist in conjunction with these instructions to screen each application.

- 1. If an application is determined to contain no deficiencies (either curable or incurable), the application will be submitted to the GMC for rating in accordance with the processing notice.
- 2. If a deficiency is found that is not curable, the cognizant HUB or Program Center will hold the application pending completion of the entire rating and ranking process. The TOP Master Logs submitted to the GMC should also list the names of applications found to have non-curable deficiencies. These applications should be clearly identified as such.
- 3. If a curable deficiency is identified, the cognizant HUB or Program Center must send the applicant a deficiency letter in accordance with the date listed in the processing notice. Applicants will have 14 calendar days to respond to deficiencies. If corrections are received by the local HUD Office after the 14-day period, the application will not be considered for funding. Applicants should note, however, that HUD may not seek clarification of items or responses that improve the substantive quality of the applicant's response to any eligibility or selection criterion.
- 4. Each successful applicant will have a duty to affirmatively further fair housing. Applicants should include in their work plans the specific steps that they will take to (1) address the elimination of impediments to fair housing that where identified in the jurisdiction's Analysis of Impediments (A1) to Fair Housing Choice; (2) remedy discrimination in housing; or (3) promote fair housing choice. Further, applicants have a duty to carry out the specific activities cited in their responses to the rating factors that address affirmatively furthering fair housing.

SCREENING

Screening should be performed by a single reviewer as assigned by the cognizant HUB or Program Center. If more than one reviewer is involved, each reviewer should place their signature on the signature line indicating their review. In such a case, the reviewers should initial the items on the checklist they reviewed.

CURABLE/INCURABLE DEFICIENCIES

Curable technical deficiencies relate to items that are not necessary for HUD's review under selection factors and would not improve the quality of the applicant's program proposal. Some examples of a curable deficiency would be the failure of an applicant to submit a required assurance, budget narrative, certification, computational errors, applicant data form, incomplete forms such as the SF-424 or lack of required signatures. Some examples of a non-curable deficiency is re-submitting data to address the Threshold and Selection Factors.

If there are any questions regarding whether certain information is curable or incurable, please contact the GMC.

DEFICIENCY LETTER

If the deficiency is curable, the cognizant HUB or Program Center will send a deficiency letter to the applicant. The letter must contain the following elements:

- specifically list and explain the nature of each deficiency
- explain what has to be provided to cure the deficiency
- state the deadline date (the 14th calendar day after the date of the letter) and time for responding to the deficiency and that the response must be <u>received</u> at the cognizant HUB or Program Center by that date specified in the processing schedule of this notice
- state that an applicant's failure to adequately respond to the deficiency letter will result in its application not being considered for funding
- in addition, the letter will state that in responding to the deficiency, the applicant may only change the item(s) noted as deficiencies by the local cognizant HUB or Program Center.

The cognizant HUB or Program Center must also call the applicant and alert it to the fact that it will be receiving a deficiency letter. If an applicant failed to respond to a deficiency letter within the deadline set, the application will not be considered for funding.

RESCREENING

Once an applicant responds to the deficiency letter, the responses must be rescreened to ensure that the revised application is complete, consistent, and contains correct computations. If a deficiency is not adequately cured, the application will not be considered for funding. A reviewer must ensure that the corrections submitted do not substantially revise the application or change fundamental features of the program. Examples of such changes would be:

- changing the applicant's name;
- changing responses other than to cure incorrect budget amounts or

revisions required to correct inconsistencies with other criteria.

Reviewers who determine that an applicant has substantially revised their application, or changed a fundamental feature of the program not listed in the previous examples, may consult with the GMC in determining if the corrections are acceptable.

COMMENTS

In addition to screening for technical deficiencies, cognizant HUBs or Program Centers are encouraged to provide comments, if any, regarding the application. The comments will be used as background material for reviewers scoring the applications. Comments should be recorded on the Comment Form attached. If comments are provided, please attach a copy of the comment sheet to the applications being mailed to the GMC.

FURTHER PROCESSING

After the screening process is completed and the curable deficiencies corrections are included in all applications, the cognizant HUBs or Program Centers shall attach deficiency letter(s) (if any) and a copy of the Comment Form to the applications being forwarded to the GMC for scoring. The screening checklist should be attached to the receiving office's copy of the application with copies of deficiency letter(s) (if any), and the original Comment Form to be retained for record keeping purposes.

APPLICATION SCREENING PROCESS/CHECKLIST

ELIGIBILITY:

All applicants must meet the definition of a RA or IRO outlined in Section E of the SuperNOFA, and must address all Threshold Factors and application submission requirements to be eligible for funding.

Applications submitted by a PHA or other private organizations are not acceptable and will be deemed ineligible.

Make a complete cross-check of all applications against current grantees in the cognizant HUB or Program Center's jurisdiction to avoid funding duplication and verify the information with respective PHA, if appropriate.

All applicants must comply with all Fair Housing and civil rights laws, statutes, regulations and executive orders as enumerated in 24 CFR 5.105(a). If an applicant (1) has been charged with a violation of the Fair Housing Act by the Secretary; (2) is the defendant in a Fair Housing Act lawsuit filed by the Department of Justice; or (3) has received a letter of noncompliance findings under Title VI of the Civil Rights Ac t, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, or Section 109 of the Housing and Community Development Act, the applicant is not eligible to apply for funding under this SuperNOFA until the applicant resolves such charge, lawsuit, or letter of findings to the satisfaction of the Department.

NOTE: Under no circumstances should a Reviewer change any information in an application to make an applicant eligible for funding.

HUD Headquarters encourages each reviewer to provide comments regarding any inaccurate information included in the application. The cognizant HUB or Program Center may also determine if the applicant meets eligibility requirements based on the inaccurate information.

THRESHOLD CRITERIA DESCRIPTION

Each TOP category has separate threshold requirements.

All applicants must address each threshold criteria requirement in a category in order to be considered for rating and ranking. If the applicant fails to address any threshold requirement, the application is automatically rejected by the reviewer. Once a reviewer has checked to verify that all threshold requirements have been addressed, the reviewer must further check for curable items within the information submitted. If signatures are missing on documentation submitted in the application, it is a curable deficiency.

FIELD OFFICE APPLICATION SCREENING CHECKLIST

APPLICANT NAME: HA CODE: HUB/PROGRAM CENTER:	
REVIEWER (S:	DATE:
	DATE:
Factor. If an appli considered a non-cur considered for furth that have <u>asterisks</u> ,	appropriate selection below for each Threshold cant failed to address a Threshold Factor that is able deficiency, the application will not be er processing. Please note the Threshold Factors each reviewer must certify that the information actors are accurate.
SUPERNOFA ECONOMIC S	ELF-SUFFICIENCY GRANTS THRESHOLD CRITERIA
COMPLETED YES NO	THRESHOLD FACTORS
	 An original and two copies of a complete application must be postmarked July 31, 1998, and submitted to the appropriate HUB or Program Center as prescribed by the SuperNOFA.
	2. Focus on Residents Affected by Welfare Reform. Applicant must state that:
	a) at least 51% or more of the public housing residents to be included in the proposed program are affected by the welfare reform legislation. These may include TANF recipients and also may include legal immigrants, and disabled SSI recipients to the extent that their benefits are clearly affected by welfare reform. In addition, elderly or persons with disabilities who are scheduled, under the work plan, to provide services to families affected by TANF may also be included in the 51%. (TAB 3, Section I narrative, also refer to TAB 2, Needs Assessment to determine whether there ar
	sufficient numbers of TANF or other

		affected residents to implement a successful TOP program under which 51% of those served would be affected by welfare reform). Also, to the extent that elderly or persons with disabilities who are not directly affected by welfare reform are included in the 51%, review the work plan to determine that they will provide services to public housing residents affected by welfare reform.
 -	b)	For elderly projects applicants, the cognizant HUB or Program Center must determine that the information provided is accurate and justifies the statement above.
 	Assoc	ership between the Resident iation (RA) and the Housing rity (HA).
 	a)	Signed MOU between the RA and the HA. It must be finalized, dated and signed by duly authorized officials of both the RA and HA. (TAB 6 MOU with HA).
	(TAB 3 if ap	ssible Community Facility. , Sec. VII narrative, TAB 6 MOU with HA, plicable, or Use Agreement) (non-curable) cant must include:
	a)	an executed use agreement with the HA or Use Agreement with proper authority (owner or operator of the site) for use of the facility, and, (curable)
 	b)	facility must be accessible to persons with disabilities (non-curable)
	c) -	a description of the community facility which indicates that majority of the activities will be administered at a community facility in or within easy accessibility of the property; (TAB 3, Section VII narrative) (non-curable)
 	d)	in the case of applications for programs to be implemented for the primary benefit of residents in housing that is dispersed in a rural setting, the applicant must provide evidence that participants will have access to transportation to the

community facility that is convenient (non-curable)

5. Contract Administrator (CA).
(TAB 3, Sec. IV narrative, TAB 6 Partnership Agreement or MOU) (non-curable)

Applicant must include:

- a) a narrative in TAB 3, Section IV which indicates that the applicant has entered into an agreement with a capable entity who is a Contract Administrator, and
- b) complete TAB 6, Partnership agreement or a MOU that is signed and dated.

A Contract Administrator is not required if the applicant has provided the following evidence:

- a) a certification and/or letter from HUD or an IPA stating that the financial management system is established, determined satisfactory, in compliance with the requirement of 24 CFR Part 84, and that adequate procurement procedure are established.
- 6*. Applicant Non-Profit Status and Certification of Elections TAB 5, Certification of Resident Council Board Election) (curable)

Applicant must include:

for IRO's, evidence that the applicant is registered as a nonprofit corporation with 501(c) status or have applied for such status (copy of certification from State that the applicant is registered as a corporation and documentation which show that applicant has filed with Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and process is completed or an acknowledgment letter from IRS that applicant's paperwork is in process), or for site-based RAs evidence that the applicant is registered as a nonprofit (copy of State certification that

applicant is registered as a corporation)
and must have applied for 501c
status with the IRS.

- b) For site-based RAs only Certification of the RA board election, signed by the HA and/or an independent third-party monitor and notarized.
- 7*. Compliance with Current Programs
 (TAB 4, Applicant/Administrator Track Record,
 Certification) (curable)

Applicant must include:

a) a certification which show that the applicant and contract administrator, if applicable, is not in default with respect to any previous HUD funded grant programs the applicant has received.

(cognizant HUB or Program Center must ensure that the above certification does not contradict with any current information in which the reviewing office may have on the applicant and the applicant has not been declared in default by the local field office.)

- 8. Intermediary Resident Organizations
 - a) Provided name of the RAs that will receive training, technical assistance and/or coordinated supporti ve services with letter of support from each entity identified.
- 9.* AUDIT FINDINGS AND EQUAL OPPORTUNITY REQUIREMENTS

(TAB 4, Applicant/Administrator Track Record, Certification) (curable)

Applicant must certify that with respect to applicant and, if applicable, contract administrator:

- a) that there are no unresolved, outstanding Inspector General audit findings,
- b) there are no findings of outstanding

civil rights violations in accordance with the requirements of Section II B o' the General Section of the NOFA. (Check the applicant against the list provided by FHEO of potential applicants who do not meet the civil rights threshold). C) that there are no Field Office management review findings relating to discriminatory housing practices and must be in compliance with civil rights laws and equal opportunity requirements. (cognizant HUB or Program Center must ensure that the above certification does not contradict with any current information in which the reviewing office may have on the applicant.) ORGANIZATIONAL DEVELOPMENT GRANTS THRESHOLD CRITERIA COMPLETED THRESHOLD FACTORS YES NO 1.* Certification of Elections (Curable) Applicant must include: certification of the RA's board elections a) as required by HUD, notarized by the local HA and/or an independent thirdparty monitor Board Election Does not apply to IRO applicants. (Tab 5) 2.*Contract Administrator (CA) TAB 3, Sec. IV narrative, TAB 6 Partnership Agreement or MOU (non-curable) Does not apply to IROs. Applicant must include: a narrative in TAB 3, Section IV which a) indicates that the applicant has entered into an agreement with a capable entity who is a Contract Administrator, and complete TAB 6, Partnership agreement or b) a MOU A Contract Administrator is not required if the applicant has provided the following evidence:

- a) a certification and/or letter from HUD or an IPA stating that the financial management system is established, determined satisfactory, in compliance with the requirement of 24 CFR Part 84, and that adequate procurement procedures are established.

Applicant must include:

a) a certification which show that the applicant and, if applicable, contract dministrator, is not in default with respect to any previous HUD funded grant programs the applicant has received.

(cognizant HUB or Program Center must ensure that the above certification does not contradict with any current information in which the reviewing office may have on the applicant and the applicant has not been declared in default by the local field office.)

4.* COMPLIANCE WITH CURRENT PROGRAMS
(TAB 4, Applicant/Administrator Track Record,
Certification) (curable)

Applicant must certify that with respect to applicant and, if applicable, contract administrator:

- a) there are no unresolved, outstanding Inspector General audit findings,
- b) there are no findings of outstanding civil rights violations in accordance with the requirements of Section II B of the General Section of the NOFA. (Check the applicant against the list provided by FHEO of potential applicants who do not meet the civil rights threshold).
- c) there are no Field Office management review findings relating to discriminatory housing practices and must be in compliance with civil rights laws and equal opportunity requirements.

(cognizant HUB or Program Center must ensure that the above certification does not contradict with any current information in which the reviewing office may have on the applicant.)

MEDIATION GRANT THRESHOLD CRITERIA

COMPLETED	THRESHOLD FACTORS
YES NO	<pre>1. Written Agreement with Mediator (Tab 6) (non-curable) Applicant must include:</pre>
	 a) Written agreement with professional mediator or mediation organization with roles and responsibilities of each party.
	b) Agreement must specify, consistent with the work plan, mediator/partner will train IRO staff and/or volunteers.
* ,	<pre>2. Mediation Experience/Referral Agreement (non-curable) Applicant must include:</pre>
· · ·	 Evidence that mediator/partner have at least three years of experience in providing mediation services and at least 2 years experience in mediation training; (Tab 4)
	b) Includes referral agreement with a judicial, law enforcement or social service agency for mediation referral. (Tab 6)
	3.* Applicant Non-Profit Status (curable) Applicant must include:
	a) evidence that the applicant is registered as a nonprofit corporation with 501(c) status or have applied for such status (copy of certification from State that the applicant is registered as a corporation and documentation which show that applicant has filed with Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and process is

completed or an acknowledgment letter from IRS that applicant's paperwork is in process). (Tab 6)

4.* AUDIT FINDINGS AND EQUAL OPPORTUNITY REQUIREMENTS

(TAB 4, Applicant/Administrator Track Record, Certification) (curable)

Applicant must certify that for applicant and mediation partner:

- a) that there are no unresolved, outstanding Inspector General audit findings,
- b) No finding of outstanding civil rights violations in accordance with the requirements of Section II B of the General Section of the NOFA. (Check the applicant against the list provided by FHEO of potential applicants who do not meet the civil rights threshold).
- c) that there are no Field Office management review findings relating to discriminatory housing practices and must be in compliance with civil rights laws and equal opportunity requirements.

(cognizant HUB or Program Center must ensure that the above certification does not contradict with any current information in which the reviewing office may have on the applicant.)

APPLICATION SCREENING CHECKLIST (Cont'd)

<u>TAB</u>	COMPLETE YES	NO	CERTIFICATIONS AND ASSURANCES
1			APPLICANT TRANSMITTAL LETTER
1	******	***********	FACT SHEET
1			STANDARD FORM 424
2			NEEDS ASSESSMENT REPORT
3			TWO YEAR WORK PLAN WHICH INCLUDES GOALS, BUDGET, TIMETABLE AND STRATEGIES.
4		_	APPLICANT/ADMINISTRATOR TRACK RECORD
5			CERTIFICATION AND ASSURANCES
5	 ,		RESOLUTION OF AGREEMENT TO COMPLY WITH HUD TERMS
5	^,		OTHER FUNDING SOURCES
5			ASSURANCE FOR NON-CONSTRUCTION
5	<u>-</u>		DRUG-FREE WORKPLACE
5			DISCLOSURE OF LOBBYING ACTIVITIES

NOTE: All applicants must address the selection factors in TOP Super NOFA, page 99-109. The scoring instructions will be used to review and score each factor for all applications at the GMC in Washington, D.C.

CROSS CHECK WORKSHEET FOR DUPLICATION OF FUNDING

This worksheet is to be used for each Tenant Opportunities Program application being reviewed in the FY 1998 funding round. The cross check must be performed by cognizant HUB or Program Center staff on all applicants.

1.	What is the Applicant's name?
2.	Has a TOP grant been awarded to this applicant in prior years?
	Yes No
	If yes, does the amount requested exceed the \$100,000 statutory limit? Yes No
3.	If applicant is applying for an additional grant, does the amount requested exceed the \$100,000 statutory limit? Yes No
4.	Has the applicant received a TOP grant under a different name in prior years? Yes No
	If yes, please provide the organization name used and amount received?
	Organization Name Amount Received
5.	Has the applicant received training from an Intermediary Organization, jurisdiction-wide or city-wide TOP grant? Yes No
	If yes, please provide the Intermediary Organization, jurisdiction-wide or city-wide name and grant amount allocated to applicant site.
	Organization NameAmount Received

Field Office Input Related to Selection Factor: Applicant/Administrator Track Record/Capability

ESSG	(FACTOR	3)	(6 POINTS)	MG (FACTOR	2C) _	(6 PC	INTS)
				he applicat sing domain		o any of	the grants
Yes /	/ No	//					
<pre>infor which the i contr</pre>	mation of the information that the information that the information is adicted to the information that the information that the information is adicted to the information of the informa	on the gration to provi	ant in the in LOCCS a ded on the mation prov	nd/or the p	e. Com roject r contr applic	nment on t file(s): act admin	he extent to 1) confirms istrator; 2)
		- <u>-</u> -					, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -,
				r is a hous ng authorit			
a) F	'inancial	L managem	ent/cash re	serves OR o	peratin	ng reserve	s; and
			Score				
	esident nitiativ		and commun	ity buildin	g OR Re	esident	
			Score				
Housi Admin on wh admin fulfi	ng Authoristratoriat the listratorial	ority, re r's behal letter do r's capac of the co	view the le f by a prev es or does ity and pre	r and/or fi tter provid ious client not reveal disposition nistrator's	ed on t or the about t toward	the Contra E IPA audi The contra ds satisfa	ct t. Comment ct ctory
-							

5. State explicitly whether or not the applicant has the necessa administrative capacity, from your experience with the applicant, designated contract administrator and/or the designated financial manager, to perform its responsibilities under the MOU or Partner Agreement.	the
	····

FY 1998 TENANT OPPORTUNITIES PROGRAM GRANT REVIEW PROCESS CHECKLIST

This checklist will assist the GMC as they manage the review of the Tenant Opportunities Program Grant TOP applications. It is recommended that the checklist items be initialed and dated as each step is completed in this review process. It is important that each application be accounted for at all times. Use this checklist in conjunction with the Resident Initiatives Handbook (7490.01) and this Notice. If there are any questions, please contact the GMC at (202) 358-0221 for clarification.

 1.	Applications submitted to the cognizant HUB or Program Center or Area Office.
 2.	The OPH logs and applications received on or before the deadline date.
 3.	The OPH faxes, logs, and applicant fact sheet to Odessa Burroughs at (202) 358-0246.
 4.	Cognizant HUB or Program Center sends a letter to each applicant acknowledging receipt of the application.
 5 .	Cognizant HUB or Program Center screens applications f curable deficiencies and informs applicants of corrections needed.
 6.	The screening and rescreening of all applications are completed. All curable deficiencies have been corrected.
 7.	Cognizant HUB or Program Center sends TOP applications to the GMC in Washington, D.C.
 8.	The HUB or Program Center sends a memo to the Secretary's Representative with the following attachments;
	 One (1) copy of each application Log Sheet to verify receipt of applications Rating Factor 5 Score Sheet for comment
	- The Secretary's Representative/Senior Community

Builder returns the applications, a copy of the log

sheet, score sheets and comment forms to the

cognizant HUB/Program Center.

9. The HUBs/Program Centers sends the original and one (1) copy of each application and other required documents to the GMC by the date outlined in the Processing Schedule to the following address:

Grants Management Center 501 School Street S. W. Suite 800 Washington, D. C. 20024

ATTACHMENT 7

TOP APPLICATION MASTER LOG (A)

APPLICANT NAME DATE TIME RECEIVED RECEIVED

TOP Processing Notice

ATTACHMENT 8

TOP APPLICATION MASTER LOG (B) (IROs)

TYPE OF GRANT/AROUNT																	
LOGGED IN BY:NAME/HUB OR PROGRAM CENTER																	
TIME RECEIVED																	
DATE RECEIVED																	
APPLICANT NAME				•													
на соре																	
	 7	က	4	2	9	7	<u></u>	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	

TOP Processing Notice

ATTACHMENT 9

TOP CORRECTED DEFICIENCY LOG (C)

																	$\neg \neg$	
COMMENTS																		
LOGGED IN BY: NAME/HUB OR PROGRAM CENTER																		
TIME RECEIVED																		
DATE RECEIVED																		
APPLICANT NAME						•												
HUB or Program	LTR DATE																	
; ;		-	7	8	4	5	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17

COGNIZANT HUB OR PROGRAM CENTER

TOP Processing Notice

0|

FY 1998 TENANT OPPORTUNITIES PROGRAM RESCREENING CHECKLIST

Directions: Use this checklist in conjunction with the screening instructions to screen responses to curable deficiencies to determine if the tabs listed below are cured and completed.

APPLICANT NAM	· T r:	-	
HA CODE NUMBE			
GRANT CATEGOR	Υ		
==========		=======	=======
	RESCREENED	IS IT C	URED?
The following	documents:		
TAB 1	TRANSMITTAL LETTER	Y	N
TAB 1	FACT SHEET	Y	N
TAB 1	STANDARD FORM 424	Y	N
TAB 4	•	led) Y	N
	USE AGREEMENT (unsigned)	Y	N
TAB 5		Y	N
TAB 5	CERTIFICATION OF AUTOMATED		
	CAPABILITY (unsigned)	Y	N
TAB 5	CERTIFICATION OF BOARD ELECTION	Y	N
TAB 5	BOARD RESOLUTION	Y	N
TAB 5	OTHER FUNDING SOURCES ASSURANCE FOR NON-CONSTRUCTION	Y	N
TAB 5	DRUG-FREE WORKPLACE	Y	N
IAB 5	STANDARD FORM 2880	Y	N
TAB 5	DISCLOSURE OF LOBBYING	v	N N
IAD 5	DISCLOSURE OF LOBBIING	1	N
SUMMARY: Ple	ease check mark on applicable line		
	er rescreening the application has deficiencies are cured forward		
def	er rescreening the application con iciencies not all deficiencies	cured	
apr	olication will not be considered for	or TOP fu	nding.
REVIEWERS (S)			======= ATE
		D.	ATE

SAMPLE DEFICIENCY LETTER

Applicant Address

Dear Applicant:

Thank you for your recent application submission for the FY 1998 Tenant Opportunities Program (TOP). The (name of local field office) has conducted the initial screening of your application. Your application was found technically deficient in the following areas:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

Please provide the additional information identified as curable deficiencies within 14 days from the date of this letter. Please submit your corrections to:

Name of Contact person Local Field Office Address

The Field Office will review the response(s) submitted by your RC/RMC to ensure that your response(s) corrects the deficiency(s) previously identified. If your response(s) do not address the deficiencies identified above, your application will not be considered for funding. You will be notified in writing that your application is ineligible.

If you have any questions, please contact (insert name and telephone number). The Office's TDD/TYY number or that of the Federal Information Relay Service is 1-800-877-8339.

Thank you for your interest in the Department's programs.

Sincerely,

Signature Name and Title

FY 1998 TENANT OPPORTUNITIES PROGRAM GRANT COMMENT FORM

APPLICANT NAME:	
HA CODE:	
REGARDING TAB (S):	
COMMENTS:	
Name of Commenter -	
Signature of Commenter	Date

FY 1998 TENANT OPPORTUNITIES PROGRAM SCORING INSTRUCTIONS SELECTION FACTOR #5 ECONOMIC SELF-SUFFICIENCY GRANT

APPLICANT NAME:		
IDENTIFIER (HA CO	DE OR PROJECT	Γ #)
REVIEWER:		
DATE OF REVIEW:		
COMPREHENSIVENESS	AND COORDINA	ATION
	MAXIMUM P	OINTS: 10

This factor addresses the extent to which the applicant's program reflects a coordinated, community based process of identifying needs and building a system to address the needs by using available HUD funding resources and other resources available to the community.

1. COORDINATION WITH THE CONSOLIDATED PLAN (2 Points).

Reviewers shall consider the extent to which the application demonstrates that the applicant has reviewed the community's Consolidated Plan and/or Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice, and has proposed activities that address the priorities, needs, goals or objectives in those documents; or substantially further fair housing choice in the community based on race, color, national origin, religion, sex, familial status, and disability.

- HIGH 2. A high score is received where the application successfully demonstrated that the applicant has reviewed the community's Consolidated Plan and/or Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice, and has proposed activities that clearly address the priorities, needs, goals or objectives in those documents; or substantially further fair housing choice in the community; and has helped the community to update the AI to incorporate these activities.
- LOW 0. A low score is received where the application has not demonstrated or provided evidence that the applicant has reviewed the community's Consolidated Plan and/or Analysis of impediments to Fair Housing Choice, and not proposed activities that address the priorities, needs, goals or objectives in those documents; or substantially further fair housing choice in the community.

Strengths	TAB/Pg.#
	<u></u>
Weaknesses	TAB/Pg.#
Points Assigned:	

2. COORDINATION WITH STATE WELFARE PLAN (4 POINTS)

Reviewers shall determine if the application provides evidence that the proposed TOP has been coordinated with and supports the housing authority's efforts to increase resident self-sufficiency and is coordinated and consistent with the State Welfare Plan.

HIGH - 3-4. A high score is received where the applicant clearly documents and provides substantial evidence that the proposed TOP has been coordinated with and supports the housing authority's

efforts to increase resident self-sufficiency and is coordinated and consistent with the State Welfare Plan.

MEDIUM - 1-2. A medium score is received where the applicant has provided a fair amount of evidence that the proposed TOP has been coordinated with and supports the housing authority's efforts to increase resident self-sufficiency and is coordinated and consistent with the State Welfare Plan.

LOW - 0. A low score is received where the applicant provides little or no evidence that the proposed TOP has been coordinated with and supports the housing authority's efforts to increase resident self-sufficiency and is not coordinated and/or consistent with the State Welfare Plan.

Strengths	TAB/Pg.#
	
······································	
Weaknesses	TAB/Pg.#
-	
1	
	norge .
	•
Points Assigned:	

3. COORDINATION WITH OTHER ACTIVITIES (4) points.

The reviewer shall determine the extent to which the application demonstrates that the applicant, in carrying out program activities, will develop linkages with: other HUD funded program activities proposed or on-going in the community; or other State, Federal or locally funded activities proposed or on-going in the community which taken as a whole support and sustain a comprehensive system to address the needs.

HIGH -3-4. A high score is received where the applicant clearly demonstrates and provides support that linkages will be developed with other HUD funded program activities proposed or on-going in the community; or other State, Federal or locally funded activities proposed or on-going in the community which taken as a whole support and sustain a comprehensive system to address the needs.

MEDIUM -2-1. A medium score is received where the applicant provides average support that linkages will be developed with other HUD funded program activities proposed or on-going in the community; or other State, Federal or locally funded activities proposed or on-going in the community which taken as a whole support and sustain a comprehensive system to address the need.

LOW 0. A low score is received where the applicant fails to provide any documentation to support the development of linkages with other HUD funded program activities proposed or on-going in the community; or other State, Federal or locally funded activities proposed or on-going in the community which taken as a whole support and sustain a comprehensive system to address the needs.

Strengths	TAB/Pg.#

Weaknesses	TAB/Pg.#
	·
·	
Points Assigned:	

FY 1998 TENANT OPPORTUNITIES PROGRAM SCORING INSTRUCTIONS FOR SELECTION FACTOR #5 MEDIATION GRANT

APPLICANT NAME: IDENTIFIER (HA CODE OR PROJECT #) NAME OR REVIEWER: DATE OF REVIEW:
COMPREHENSIVENESS AND COORDINATION MAXIMUM POINTS: 10
This factor addresses the extent to which the applicant's program reflects a coordinated, community-based process of identifying mediation needs and building a system to address the needs by using available HUD funding resources and other resources available to the community.
1. COORDINATION WITH THE CONSOLIDATED PLAN (2 POINTS)
High 2: A high score is received where the application clearly demonstrates the applicant has reviewed the community's Consolidated Plan and/or Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice, and has used charts to demonstrate how proposed activities will clearly address the priorities, needs, goals or objectives in those documents; or substantially further fair housing choice in the community.
LOW 0: A low score is received where the application has failed to provide evidence that the applicant has reviewed the community's Consolidated Plan and/or Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice, and has not proposed activities that address the priorities, needs, goals or objectives in those documents; or substantially further fair housing choice in the community.
Strengths TAB/Pg.#

	•
	-
Veaknesses	TAB/Pg.#
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	·
	_
Points Assigned:	
'	
2. Coordination with the State Welfare Plan (1 point).	
High (1 Point). Through appropriate use of HUD forms and narratives in TAB 3, the applicant	
provides concrete evidence that the proposed TOP must have been coordinated with and supports the	
nousing authority's efforts to increase resident-self sufficiency and is coordinated and consistent with the State Welfare Plan.	
Low (O Points). The applicant provides ineffectual or no evidence that the proposed TOP	
must have been coordinated with and supports the	
nousing authority's efforts to increase	
resident-self sufficiency and is coordinated and	
resident-self sufficiency and is coordinated and consistent with the State Welfare Plan.	
resident-self sufficiency and is coordinated and	TAB/Pg.#
resident-self sufficiency and is coordinated and consistent with the State Welfare Plan.	TAB/Pg.#
resident-self sufficiency and is coordinated and consistent with the State Welfare Plan.	TAB/Pg.#
resident-self sufficiency and is coordinated and consistent with the State Welfare Plan.	TAB/Pg.#

TAB/Pg.#	sses
TAB/Pg.#	sses

3. Coordination with Other Activities (7 points).

High (5-7 Points). The application clearly demonstrates that the applicant is capable in carrying out program activities which will develop significant linkages with: other HUD funded program activities proposed or on-going in the community; or other State, Federal or locally funded activities proposed or on-going in the community which taken as a whole support and sustain a comprehensive system to address the mediation needs.

Medium (4-2 Points). The application demonstrated that the applicant in carrying out program activities will develop some linkages with: other HUD funded program activities proposed or on-going in the community, or other State, Federal or locally funded activities proposed or on-going in the community which taken as a whole support and sustain a comprehensive system to address the mediation needs.

Low (1-0 Points). The application failed to demonstrate that the applicant can carry out program activities or

community, or other State, Federal or locally funded activities proposed or on-going in the community which taken as a whole support and sustain a comprehensive system to address the mediation needs. Strengths _____TAB/Pq.# Weaknesses TAB/Pg.# Points Assigned: ____

will be able to develop adequate linkages with: other HUD funded program activities proposed or on-going in the

FY 1998 SCORING FACTORS EZ/EC

APPLICANT NAME:	
REVIEWERS NAME:	
DATE OF REVIEW:	
GRANT CATEGORY:	
HA CODE:	
The applicant certified that its activities, eligible) are in a Federally designated EZ/E the EZ/EC residents and that its activities, consistent with the EZ/EC strategic plan.	EC and that it serves
2 points if yes	
0 points if no	
FOGA Signature Date	e

PART 1 GENERAL APPLICANT INFORMATION
Applicant Name
HA Code
Amount Requested \$
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Grant Type (Please check one):
() Economic Self-Sufficiency Grant
Basic Grants
Additional Grant (ESS Grant Only)
() Organizational Development Grant () Mediation Grant
PART 2 FACTOR 5 SCORING INFORMATION
Economic Self-Sufficiency Grants
FACTOR 5 Maximum Points: 10
Final Score
PART 3 FACTOR 5 SCORING INFORMATION
Mediation Grants
FACTOR 5 Maximum Points 10
Final Score
Reviewer/Scorer
Name:
(Please type or print)
(riease type of print)
I certify that the review and screening process
of this application is complete and contains
appropriate comments for supporting the same
appropriate comments for supporting the score.
I did not provide technical assistance to this
I did not provide technical assistance to this applicant, or discuss the application with
I did not provide technical assistance to this applicant, or discuss the application with anyone who did. I do not have a relationship to
I did not provide technical assistance to this applicant, or discuss the application with anyone who did. I do not have a relationship to the applicant that would present a conflict of
I did not provide technical assistance to this applicant, or discuss the application with anyone who did. I do not have a relationship to
I did not provide technical assistance to this applicant, or discuss the application with anyone who did. I do not have a relationship to the applicant that would present a conflict of interest.
I did not provide technical assistance to this applicant, or discuss the application with anyone who did. I do not have a relationship to the applicant that would present a conflict of interest. Signature
I did not provide technical assistance to this applicant, or discuss the application with anyone who did. I do not have a relationship to the applicant that would present a conflict of interest.

	NAME:
ILDER'S COMMENTS	
TING FACTOR 5 CRETARY'S REPRESENTATIVE/SENIOR COMMUNITY	RATING FACTOR 5 SECRETARY'S REP